

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Dillon
<b>Given Name</b>	Glenn
<b>Person ID</b>	1286545
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Dillon
<b>Given Name</b>	Glenn
<b>Person ID</b>	1286545
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Dillon
<b>Given Name</b>	Glenn
<b>Person ID</b>	1286545
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Dillon
<b>Given Name</b>	Glenn
<b>Person ID</b>	1286545
<b>Title</b>	JPA 8: Seedfield
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The government clearly state these houses are not mandatory.</p> <p>You are ckmpletely ignoring brown site first that woul seriously reduce the need for green belt building.</p> <p>You claim to looking after the enviroment is a bad joke. You intend to produce more than needed houses in areas that u dont need to.</p> <p>This produces more pollution from their fossil fuel burning boilets and on turn more polluting cars to clog up our already congested roads.</p> <p>Your total direspect for the wild life is staggering.</p> <p>You have already run down radcliffe to destruction and now you intend to remove nearly all its green belt.</p> <p>The so called "new green belts"</p> <p>Is pure fantisy. Parks cannot just be renamed new green justto fit in with ur insane plans. Your arogance in ignoring the will of the people is just beyond belief.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Build on all the brown sites first. This will not only free up more green belt but will also inprove the town by the use of existing roads and preventing those sites being use for fly tipping and antisocil behavior.</p> <p>AND on top of that they will also then look good.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Dillon
<b>Given Name</b>	Glenn
<b>Person ID</b>	1286545
<b>Title</b>	JPA 9: Walshaw
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>Same reasons as above.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Same reason as above.</p>